THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO.22515 of 1996

Dated: 04-07-2006

Between:

K. Bharathi and another.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

The Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its District Collector, Hyderabad, and another.

.....RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S. RAO

WRIT PETITION NO.22515 of 1996

ORDER:

Second petitioner purchased land to an extent of 178 sq. yards from one Smt. Iftekarunnisa Begum under a registered document No.2326/88. She purchased the land along with premises bearing No. 12-2-727/10 situated at Rethibowli, Mehdipatnam, Hyderabad. Subsequently second petitioner sold the property to first petitioner.

In the year 1995, the government issued guidelines in G.O.Ms.No.508 dated 28-10-1005 for regularization of occupation/encroachment of government land in urban and rural areas. Therefore, first petitioner made an application on 24-08-1995 for regularization. The Committee constituted under said G.O. passed orders for regularization on condition of payment of Rs.4,26,000/- for an extent of 213 sq. yards in T.S.No.24, Block 'B', Ward No.21 of Gudimalkapur village and accordingly notice was given to first petitioner on 12-01-1996 to pay the amount on or before 31-01-1996. First petitioner did not pay the market value. Therefore notice bearing No.14/8135/95 dated 06-06-1996 was issued calling upon the first petitioner to show-cause as to why the land admeasuring 213 sq. yards should not be put to public auction along with structures therein. Assailing the said notice, the present writ petition is filed.

At the stage of hearing, the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue (General) submits that as the first petitioner did not pay the amount within the stipulated time and raised structures, the government filed L.G.C.No.69 of 1988 on the file of the Special Court under Andhra Pradesh Land Grabbing (Prohibition) Act, 1982, which was decided in favour of the government and that first petitioner herein filed W.P.No.7962 of 2002 before this Court and obtained interim orders and said writ petition is pending. In view of the subsequent events, nothing survives in this writ petition.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed giving liberty to the petitioners to raise all the grounds in W.P.No.7962 of 2002. No costs.

_____

(**V.V.S.RAO, J**)

4th July, 2006

ghn